

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/671,181	09/24/2003	Ting-Pi Yeh	LEEL121774	7212
26389 75	90 10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			TALBOT, BRIAN K	
1420 FIFTH AV SUITE 2800	/ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2000	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/671,181	YEH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Brian K. Talbot	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ly 2006</u> .					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	vn from consideration.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2006 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

1. The amendment filed 7/28/06 has been considered and entered. Claims 1-13 remain in the application.

- 2. Claims 1-13 remain in the application.
- 3. In light of the newly submitted drawings, the objection to the drawings has been withdrawn.
- 4. In light of the amendment filed 7/28/06, the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freund et al. (5,989,932), Chakrabarti et al. (6,386,533) or Freund et al. (6,131,263) in combination with Hu wt al. (6,618,409).

Freund et al. (5,989,932) teaches as method of apparatus for retaining and releasing laser bars during a facet coating operation. The facet coating operation utilizes spacers to separate the laser bars during coating. In Fig. 9, col. 4, line 65 – col. 5, line 15, Freund et al. (5,989,932) teaches and depicts a spacer having cutout portions defining shoulders.

Chakrabarti et al. (6,386,533) teaches a laser processing fixture whereby laser bars are alternated with spacers in a coating operation to coat the facets of the laser bars. Chakrabarti et

Application/Control Number: 10/671,181

Art Unit: 1762

al. (6,386,533) teaches and depicts in Fig. 5, spacers (64) designed include a notch end potion (66,68) and contemplates various other modifications of geometry of the spacers itself (col. 4, lines 42-65).

Freund et al. (6,131,263) teaches a method and apparatus for releasing laser bars after facet coating. Stacked spacers (110) are arranged to interconnect between adjacent spacers having a laser bar located between the spacers to be subjected to facet coating (col. 2, lines 10-50 and Figs. 1-4).

Freund et al. (5,989,932), Chakrabarti et al. (6,386,533) or Freund et al. (6,131,263) fail to teach the laser diode (bar) having a waveguide wire therein.

Hu wt al. (6,618,409) teaches passivation of semiconductor laser facets and coating thereof. The laser diodes (bars) comprise facets to be coated having a waveguide therein extending between the facets of the bar (abstract and col. 2, lines 60-67).

Therefor it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Freund et al. (5,989,932), Chakrabarti et al. (6,386,533) or Freund et al. (6,131,263) process by incorporating a waveguide as evidenced by Hu wt al. (6,618,409) with the expectation of achieving similar success, i.e. coating of the facets.

With respect to the claims 2,4,5,8 and 9 reciting dimensions (width, thickness, length, depth) of the spacer bars, it is the Examiner's position that the dimensions of the spacer bar are "result effective" variables which can be optimized though routine experimentation to obtain the desired end product resulting therefrom.

With respect to claim 3 reciting the spacer bar not exceeding the end of the laser bar, Chakrabarti et al. (6,386,533) depicts this feature in Fig. 6.

Art Unit: 1762

With respect to claim 6, the claim recites a material for the spacer. It is the Examiner' position that the claimed spacer material is commonplace in the art and one skilled in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of achieving similar success with any of the claimed materials absent a showing of unexpected results.

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canning et al. (4,731,344) or Yuang (2002/0110341) in combination with Hu wt al. (6,618,409).

Canning et al. (4,731,344) teaches a method of making a single laser chip having sawn cavities and secondary cuts. Canning et al. (4,731,344) depicts a laser chip having notches etched therein and then coating the facet areas (abstract and col. 1, line 65 – col. 2, line 55).

Yuang (2002/0110341) teaches manufacturing edge emitting or edge coupled waveguide optoelectronic devices. Yuang (2002/0110341) teaches a laser diode having an etched structure and coating the facets thereof (abstract, Figs. 1A-3C and [0021] – [0034]).

Canning et al. (4,731,344) or Yuang (2002/0110341) fail to teach a waveguide wire in the laser bar/diode.

Features described above concerning a waveguide of the laser bar as evidenced by Hu wt al. (6,618,409) are incorporated here.

Therefore one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of achieving similar success with the process of Canning et al. (4,731,344) or Yuang (2002/0110341) on a laser diode/bar having a waveguide wire as evidenced by Hu wt al. (6,618,409) because the processes are similar and the end result is a facet coating which is achieved by each reference.

With respect to the claims 11-13 reciting dimensions (width, thickness, length, depth) of the spacer bars, it is the Examiner's position that the dimensions of the spacer bar are "result effective" variables which can be optimized though routine experimentation to obtain the desired end product resulting therefrom.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a "trench crisscrossed with said waveguide wire".

The Examiner agrees in part. While the reference fail to positively teach this limitation, the prior art teaches spacers having notches, cut-out portions, etchings, as well as various geometric shapes whereby the claimed "crisscrossed trench" would be achieved. (see above).

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/671,181

Art Unit: 1762

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 6

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKJall 10/2/06 Brian K Talbot

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1762

BKT